Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/777,292	HEDRICK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Janet M. Wilkens	3637	

All Participants:

(1) *Janet M. Wilkens*.

(2) *Adam Masia*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *5 January 2005*

Time: *4:00pm*

Type of Interview:
- ☒ Telephonic
- ☐ Video Conference
- ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
103 rejection of claim 1 over Grande in view of Wells

Claims discussed:
1

Prior art documents discussed:
Grande (4,795,155) and Wells (6,161,805)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
In order to define claim 1 over the prior art, it is now stated in the claim that the bolster extends transversely from the outer panel front face (the bolster rotatable on an axis which extends substantially perpendicular to this front face).

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____ (Examiner/SPE Signature)

_____ (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)